Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,617	AOTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert J. Canfield	3635
All Participants:	Status of Applica	ation:
(1) Robert J. Canfield.	(3)	
(2) William Solomon.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF W	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirection.</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will provious parate record of the substan	de a written summary of the substance ce of the interview, since the interview
		•
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Solomon to discuss lanague of the claim. Mr. Solomon told the examiner that the language of lines 15 and 16 of claim 9 was intended to define that the horizontal surface of the recessed portionswas adjacent to the third plate and in the range of the thickness of the third plate.